## Algorithms Activity 5: Graphs

Model 1: Graphs (review)


For each of the following terms, state its definition, and give one example from the model and, if appropriate, one non-example from the model. If no one in your group can remember the definition of a term, give it your best guess.

1 vertex ${ }^{1}$

2 edge

3 adjacent vertices ${ }^{2}$

4 degree of a vertex

5 leaf

6 path

7 connected vertices (what does it mean for two vertices to be connected?)

8 connected graph (what does it mean for an entire graph to be connected?)

9 disconnected graph

10 connected component

Learning objective: Students will understand and apply graph terms edge, vertex, adjacent/neighbor, degree, leaf, path, connected, connected component, cycle, cyclic, acyclic, and tree.
${ }^{1}$ Vertices are also called nodes.
${ }^{2}$ Adjacent vertices are also referred to as neighbors.

11 cycle

12 cyclic graph

13 acyclic graph

A few more questions for you to ponder:

14 Suppose we draw an edge from a vertex back to itself. Does the
given definition of a graph allow this?

Sometimes it makes sense to allow these things and sometimes it doesn't; you just have to be clear about what kind of graph you have.

15 Suppose we draw two edges between the same pair of vertices. Does the given definition of a graph allow this?

16 How many vertices can be in a cycle?

17 The lowercase graph is a tree. The number graph and uppercase graph are not trees. What do you think is the definition of a tree?

Warning-a tree graph is not quite the same thing as a tree data structure!

18 Is the Greek graph a tree?

## Some proofs about graphs

Learning objective: Students will write proofs about graphs.

Theorem 2 (Trees). Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with $|V|=n \geq 1$. Any

1. $G$ is connected.
2. $G$ is acyclic.
3. G has $n-1$ edges.

We will take each pair of statements in turn and show that they imply the third. Fill in the blanks to complete the following proofs! Note that the size of a blank does not necessarily correspond to the amount of stuff you should write in it.

Lemma 3. $(1),(2) \Longrightarrow$ (3). That is: let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with
$|V|=n \geq 1$. If
and $\qquad$
then $\qquad$ .

Proof. Let $P(n)$ denote the statement "Any graph $G$ with $n$ vertices
which is $\qquad$ and $\qquad$
must have $\qquad$ ."

We wish to show that $P(n)$ holds for all $n \geq 1$.

The proof is by $\qquad$ .

- The base case is when $\qquad$ .

In this case, $G$ must be $\qquad$
which indeed $\qquad$ .

- In the inductive case, suppose $P(k)$ holds for some $k \geq 1$. That is,
suppose that any graph with $\qquad$ vertices
which is $\qquad$
must have $\qquad$ .

Then we wish to show $\qquad$ .

## (c) (1)
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So, let $G$ be a graph with $\qquad$ vertices which is and $\qquad$ .
We claim that $G$ must have some vertex which is a leaf, that is, a vertex of degree $\qquad$ :

- G cannot have any vertices of degree $\qquad$
because $\qquad$ .
- It also cannot be the case that every vertex of $G$ has degree $\geq$ $\qquad$ .

If they did, then we could find a $\qquad$ by starting at any vertex and walking along edges randomly until $\qquad$ ; we would never get stuck because $\qquad$ .

However, this is impossible because we assumed $\qquad$ .

Hence, $G$ must have some vertex which $\qquad$ .
If we delete this vertex along with the edge adjacent to it, it results
in a graph $G^{\prime}$ with only $\qquad$ vertices;
we note that $G^{\prime}$ is still $\qquad$
because $\qquad$
and also $\qquad$
because $\qquad$ .
Hence we may apply the inductive hypothesis to conclude that $G^{\prime}$
$\qquad$ . Adding the deleted vertex and edge
back to $G^{\prime}$ shows that $G$ $\qquad$ ,
which is what we wanted to show.
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Let's do one more! (You will do the third on your HW.)

Lemma 4. $(2),(3) \Longrightarrow(1)$, that is, $\qquad$

Proof. This proof uses a counting argument: we will show what we wish to show by counting things in multiple ways.

Let $c$ denote the number of connected components of $G$. We want
to show that $\qquad$ .
Number the components of $G$ from $1 \ldots c$, and say that component $i$ has $n_{i}$ vertices. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{c} n_{i}=
$$

$\qquad$
because $\qquad$ .

Each connected component is by definition a $\qquad$ graph;
each component must also be $\qquad$
since we assumed that $G$ is. Hence we may apply Lemma ?? to con-
clude that component $i$ $\qquad$ .
Adding these up, the total number of edges in $G$ is

$$
|E|=\sum_{i=1}^{c} \square=
$$

But we already assumed the number of edges in $G$ is $\qquad$ ,
and hence $\qquad$ as desired.
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