## Algorithms Activity 10: Intro to Dynamic Programming

Learning objective: Students will apply memoization techniques to speed up overlapping recursion.
Model 1: Fibonaccis

Here are three functions to compute Fibonacci numbers, implemented in Python. You may assume that they are all correct (at least they are supposed to be; if not XXX ).
def fib1(n):
if $n<=1:$
return n
else:
return fib1(n-1) + fib1(n-2)
def fib2(n):

```
    fibs = [0] * (n+1)
```

    fibs[1] = 1
    for \(i\) in range( \(2, n+1\) ):
            fibs[i] = fibs[i-1] + fibs[i-2]
    return fibs[n]
    fibtable $=[0,1]$
def fib3(n):
while len(fibtable) < $n+1$ :
fibtable. append(-1)
if fibtable[n] == -1:
fibtable[n] $=\mathrm{fib} 3(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{fib} 3(\mathrm{n}-2)$
return fibtable[n]

1 Which of the three implementations corresponds most directly to the recurrence defining Fibonacci numbers?

2 Draw the call tree for fib1(5).

3 It turns out that fib1 is extremely slow; it takes exponential time. Explain why it is slow. (You do not have to prove that it takes exponential time.)

4 Trace the execution of fib2(5) and explain how it works.

5 Which does more work, fib2(5) or fib1(5)? Why?

6 In terms of $\Theta$, how long does fib2(n) take? ${ }^{1}$

[^0]7 Suppose we switch the direction of the for loop in fib2, so i loops from $n$ down to 2 . Would it still work? Why or why not?

8 Trace the execution of fib3(5) and explain how it works.

9 In terms of $\Theta$, how long does fib3(n) take?

10 Fill in this statement: fib3 is just like fib1 except that
$\qquad$ .

11 Fill in this statement: fib2 is just like fib3 except that
$\qquad$ .

12 Why don't we do something akin to fib2 or fib3 for merge sort?

13 Consider the following recursive definition of $Q(n)$ for $n \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(0)=0 \\
& Q(1)=Q(2)=1 \\
& Q(n)=\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q(n-3)^{2} \\
Q(n-1)+Q(n-2)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note that there are three base cases.) Using pseudocode, write an algorithm to calculate $Q(n)$ efficiently.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Assuming that each addition takes constant time, which is actually a big fat lie.

