

## Dynamic programming example: subset sum

CSCI 382, Algorithms

October 28, 2019

As in the activity from class, given a set  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  and a target value  $S$ , we wish to determine whether there is a subset of  $X$  with sum exactly equal to  $S$ .

### Step 1: A Recurrence

**Consider different ways of splitting up or restricting the overall problem into subproblems or subcases, and come up with a recurrence.**

The key to solving this problem is to generalize it along *two* dimensions: we consider both summing to any  $s \leq S$ , and we also consider trying to use only the  $x_i$  up to  $x_k$ , that is,  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ , instead of the full set  $X$ . That is,  $canAddTo(k, s)$  will be **True** if there is a subset of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  which adds to exactly  $s$ . We have the following recurrence:

$$canAddTo(k, 0) = \mathbf{True}$$

$$canAddTo(0, s) = \mathbf{False} \quad (\text{when } s > 0)$$

$$canAddTo(k, s) = \begin{cases} canAddTo(k-1, s) & \text{if } x_k > s \\ canAddTo(k-1, s) \vee canAddTo(k-1, s-x_k) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

That is,

- We can always add to the sum 0 by picking the empty subset.
- We can never add up to a nonzero sum if we aren't allowed to use any of the  $x_i$ .
- If  $x_k > s$ , then it can't be used in a subset that sums to  $s$ , so whether we can make the sum  $s$  using a subset of  $x_1 \dots x_k$  has the same answer as whether we can make  $s$  using a subset of  $x_1 \dots x_{k-1}$ .
- Otherwise, we can try both omitting  $x_k$  (in which case we have to make  $s$  using elements up to  $x_{k-1}$ , as before), or using it (in which case we have to make the remaining  $s - x_k$  using the elements up to  $x_{k-1}$ ).

*Step 2: Induction*

An inductive proof of correctness follows the outlines of the above argument. Our induction hypothesis is to assume that *canAddTo* will give the correct answer for any  $k' < k$  and/or  $s' < s$ , and then argue why we do the right things with the results of the recursive calls made.

*Step 3: Memoize***If there are overlapping subproblems, memoize.**

This most definitely has overlapping subproblems. One simple approach, as discussed on the in-class activity, is to use a 2D array  $c$  of size  $(n + 1) \times (S + 1)$ , so  $c[k][s]$  will store the output of *canAddTo*( $k, s$ ). Each entry depends only on entries either above it, or above it and to the left, so we can fill it in row order or column order. In pseudocode:

---

```

1: for k from 0 to n do
2:   for s from 0 to S do
3:     if s = 0 then
4:       c[k][s] = True
5:     else if k = 0 then
6:       c[k][s] = False
7:     else if  $x_k > s$  then
8:       c[k][s] = c[k - 1][s]
9:     else
10:      c[k][s] = c[k - 1][s] || c[k - 1][s -  $x_k$ ]

```

---

Figure 1: SUBSETSUM

Alternatively, we could use the technique of having a recursive function which checks first to see whether the required output is already in the array.

*Step 4: Remember Your Choices!***To compute the actual optimal solution instead of just the optimal value, save the choices made at each step.**

What information does *canAddTo* discard? It is precisely the choice of whether to use  $x_k$  or not. The Boolean “or” operation will be **True** if either one of its inputs is; it does not care which. Therefore we will make another  $(n + 1) \times (S + 1)$  array of booleans called *use*, where  $use[k][s]$  is **True** if and only if we should use  $x_k$  in a subset to make  $s$  (Figure 2). Assume *use* gets initialized with all **False** values.

---

```

1: for  $k$  from 0 to  $n$  do
2:   for  $s$  from 0 to  $S$  do
3:     if  $s = 0$  then
4:        $c[k][s] = \text{True}$ 
5:     else if  $k = 0$  then
6:        $c[k][s] = \text{False}$ 
7:     else if  $x_k > s$  then
8:        $c[k][s] = c[k-1][s]$ 
9:     else
10:       $without \leftarrow c[k-1][s]$ 
11:       $with \leftarrow c[k-1][s - x_k]$ 
12:      if  $with$  then
13:         $use[k][s] = \text{True}$ 
14:       $c[k][s] = with \ || \ without$ 

```

---

Figure 2: SUBSETSUM

If  $c[n][S]$  is **True**, then there is some subset of  $X$  which adds to  $S$ . To reconstruct such an actual subset we can work our way backwards as follows:

---

```

1:  $k \leftarrow n$ 
2:  $s \leftarrow S$ 
3: Initialize  $T$  to the empty set
4: while  $k > 0$  and  $s > 0$  do
5:   if  $use[k][s]$  then
6:     Add  $x_k$  to  $T$ 
7:      $s \leftarrow s - x_k$ 
8:    $k \leftarrow k - 1$ 

```

---