

Lecture 22: Independence of CH, part III

April 13, 2009

Lemma 14.14. *If Y is countable, then $FP(X, Y)$ has the ccc.*

Proof. Suppose Y is countable and consider any uncountable set of finite partial functions

$$P = \{p_\alpha \mid \alpha < \aleph_1\} \subseteq FP(X, Y).$$

We wish to show that P is not an antichain.

Let $Z = \text{dom}[P]$. By Lemma 14.13, there is some $Z' \subseteq Z$ which is uncountable and quasi-disjoint. Let d be the common intersection of the elements of Z' , and consider the set of functions ${}^d Y$. This set is countable since Y is countable and d is finite.

For $p, q \in FP(X, Y)$, define $p \sim q$ iff $p \upharpoonright d = q \upharpoonright d$, and $P' = \{p_\alpha \mid \text{dom}(p_\alpha) \in Z'\}$. Consider P'/\sim : each equivalence class is represented by some function $d \rightarrow Y$, so there are countably many equivalence classes. However, P' is uncountable, so there must be some uncountable equivalence class, call it B . But any two $p, q \in B$ are compatible, since they agree on d , the intersection of their domains. Hence P is not an antichain: in fact, it must contain *uncountably many* compatible elements! \square

Lemma 14.15 (Approximation Lemma). *If $(\mathbb{P}$ has the ccc) M , M is a ctm, $X, Y \in M$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y \in M[G]$, then there is an $F : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y) \in M$ such that for every $a \in X$, $f(a) \in F(a)$ and $(F(a) \text{ is countable})^M$.*

Remark. This lemma essentially says that given any function $f \in M[G]$, we may “approximate” it in M , even though f itself may not be an element of M . We defer the proof of this lemma to the remainder of the semester.

Lemma 14.16. *If $(\mathbb{P}$ has the ccc) M and M is a ctm, then $\text{Card}^M(\kappa)$ implies $\text{Card}^{M[G]}(\kappa)$.*

Remark. Note that $\text{Card}(\kappa)$ denotes “ κ is a cardinal”; not to be confused with $\text{card}(\kappa)$, the cardinality of κ . We also note that this lemma is only interesting for uncountable κ , since finite cardinals and ω are absolute; we don’t have to worry about those getting collapsed in $M[G]$.

Proof. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $\text{Card}^M(\kappa)$ but there is some infinite $\beta < \kappa$ and some $f \in M[G]$ with $f : \beta \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \kappa$.

By Lemma 14.15, there is some $F : \beta \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \in M$ for which $\bigcup \text{rng}(F) = \kappa$. But now $(\text{card}(\kappa) = \kappa = \text{card}(\bigcup \text{rng}(F)) \leq \text{card}(\beta) \times \aleph_0 = \text{card}(\beta) < \kappa)^M$, a contradiction. \square

Definition 14.17. τ is a \mathbb{P} -name iff τ is a relation and for every $\langle \sigma, p \rangle \in \tau$, σ is a \mathbb{P} -name and $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

Remark. This definition might seem circular, but we can formalize it by induction on the transitive closure of τ .

Definition 14.18. Suppose τ is a \mathbb{P} -name and $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$. Then define

$$\text{val}(\tau, G) = \{ \text{val}(\sigma, G) \mid \exists p \in G. \langle \sigma, p \rangle \in \tau \}.$$

Definition 14.19. $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ denotes the class of all \mathbb{P} -names. $M^{\mathbb{P}}$ denotes $M \cap V^{\mathbb{P}}$, which is equal to $(V^{\mathbb{P}})^M$ because of some lemma about recursion and absoluteness.

Remark. Let's look quickly at a few examples.

- Of course, $\emptyset \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$ trivially; $\text{val}(\emptyset, G) = \emptyset$ for all G .
- Also, consider $\tau = \{ \langle \emptyset, p \rangle \} \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$. We have

$$\text{val}(\tau, G) = \begin{cases} \{ \emptyset \} & p \in G \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- $\rho = \{ \langle \emptyset, 1_{\mathbb{P}} \rangle \}$ is also a valid \mathbb{P} -name; $\text{val}(\rho, G) = \{ \emptyset \}$ for all filters G .
- We may generalize this to

$$\dot{x} = \{ \langle y, 1_{\mathbb{P}} \rangle \mid y \in x \}.$$

We can consider \dot{x} to be a “canonical name” for x : $\text{val}(\dot{x}, G) = x$ for every filter G .

Definition 14.20. Given a ctm M , $\mathbb{P} \in M$, and a G which is \mathbb{P} -generic over M , define

$$M[G] = \{ \text{val}(\tau, G) \mid \tau \in M^{\mathbb{P}} \}.$$

Remark. By the above remark concerning canonical names, we observe that $M \subseteq M[G]$.